

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,114	07/07/2003	Richard Levy	01064.0011-08-000	7674	
7590 07/19/2005			EXAMINER		
THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. EICHELBURG			GRAY, JILL M		
HODAFEL Bui	lding, Suite 200	•			
196 Acton Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Annapolis, MD 21403			1774		

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/614,	114	LEVY, RICHARD	LEVY, RICHARD				
		Examine	er	Art Unit					
		Jill M. Gı		1774					
Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this commun ply	ication appears on ti	ne cover sneet w	vith the correspondence ac	Idress				
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to regard Any reply regions	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum st ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months a nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation.	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI oplication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•							
1)⊠ Resr	oonsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>22 April 2005</i> .							
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Sinc	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	f Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-63,65-71,73-76 and 87-90</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-63,65-71,73-76 and 87-90</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Clair	n(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.						
Application Page 1	apers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119				,				
a)	Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) Information	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark	Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/614,114

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The provisional rejection of claims 57-63, 65-71, 73, 76, and 87-90 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is moot in view of the abandonment of the copending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 62-63 and 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a "KITCHENAID KSM 90 mixer with wire whip attachment, Examples and polyisobutylene lubricant for cable applications, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broad language of "wire" or any lubricant applied to a cable substrate, page 12. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. More specifically, the term "wire" embraces a host of wires not supported by the specification, such as electric wire, twist tie wires, or circuits. The reliance upon the disclosure of the "KITCHENAID KSM 90" mixer with a wire whip attachment is limited to mixing apparatus used in blending the superabsorbent and lubricant. Also, the wire whip attachment, while being a "wire substrate" coated with the composition during mixing is insufficient support for the breadth of claims 63 and 71. And, it is not clear on this

Application/Control Number: 10/614,114

Art Unit: 1774

record how "a method of protecting a substrate..." (claim 65) wherein the substrate is a "KITCHENAID KSM 90" mixer with "wire" (claim 71) whip attachment, used in blending the components, as argued by applicants applies. There is no reference or data with respect to protection of the mixer. As to claims 62 and 70, the specification as originally filed, only describes (in the background of the invention) polyisobutylene as a lubricant for cables. There is no disclosure in that portion of the specification construed to be the subject matter defined by the invention of cables as a substrate. Accordingly, applicants' claims are not commensurate in scope with the teachings in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 57,63, 65-71, 73, 76 and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman 5,218,011 in view of Marciano-Agostinelli et al, 5,049,593 (Marciano-Agostinelli) for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that both Freeman and Marciano-Agostinelli either taken alone or in combination with one another neither teach nor suggest applicant's

Application/Control Number: 10/614,114

Art Unit: 1774

essentially water free composition, wherein the composition comprises a superabsorbent polymer and material for lubricating a surface as essentially as claimed in present claim 57.

In this concern, Freeman teaches a water free composition that comprises a gel matrix, thickener and water absorbent polymer disposed therein, wherein the gel matrix can be an ester, as required by the present claims. Thus, the teachings of Freeman and Marciano-Agostinelli would have provided a suggestion for the composition as claimed by applicant.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1774

J.M. Gray/dh June 28, 2005

Page 5